**Page 1 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 **Industry-specific CSR: Analysis of 20 years of research**

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 **Abstract**

11

12

13

14 ***Purpose*** *- This study analyzes the literature on industry-specific corporate social*

15

16 *responsibility (CSR) practices.*

17

18 ***Design/methodology/approach*** *- Using a multiple keyword search, we identified 302 articles*

19

20

21 *reporting on such practices, published in 99 different academic journals between 1995 and*

22

23 *2014. We analyzed these articles to map the CSR literature, identify which industries have*

24

25 *been under greater scrutiny, and distinguish trends in the most researched industries.*

26

27 ***Findings*** *- Our findings indicate that the CSR studies are very unevenly distributed and that*

28

29

30 *the issues studied and the methods used vary widely across industries. We also map this field*

31

32 *of study and propose suggestions on where research on industry-specific CSR should go in*

33

34 *the future.*

35

36 **Originality/value -** *We provide the first extensive, systematic analysis of the industry-specific*

37

38 *CSR literature. Our research adds value to the literature by highlighting the key issues*

39

40

41 *investigated as well as those that require further inquiry.*

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 **Keywords:** literature analysis, Corporate Social Responsibility, industry, business ethics,

49

50

51 research.

52

53

54

55

56

**European Business Review**

**Page 2 of 44**

1

2

3 **Introduction**

4

5

6

7 In recent decades, scholars, practitioners, governments and society have become increasingly

8

9 interested in the ways companies behave towards their internal and external stakeholders and

10

11

12 their environment (Freeman, 1984; Carroll, 1991, 1999; Campbell, 2007; Claydon, 2011;

13

14 Aguinis and Glavas, 2012; Beschorner *et al*., 2013a; Painter-Morland and ten Bos, 2011; Hah

15

16 and Freeman, 2014). This interest has led to the emergence of several new concepts such as

17

18 Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Corporate Responsibility (CR), business ethics and

19

20

21 sustainable development, which have become integral to understanding the global

22

23 organization of the 21st Century. The shared interest of governments and businesses in the

24

25 issue of company responsibility has also led to global initiatives to promote responsible

26

27 business behavior, such as the UN Global Compact, the European Alliance for CSR, Global

28

29

30 Reporting Guidelines (GRI), the World Bank Council for Sustainable Development and the

31

32 ISO 26000 (responsible practices) standard.

33

34 McWilliams and Siegel (2001) consider CSR to be the point where a firm goes beyond its

35

36 interests and legal compliance and acts to advance the social good. Recently, the European

37

38 Commission defined CSR as “the responsibility of enterprises for their impacts on society”

39

40

41 (2011, p. 6) and invited firms to implement “a process to integrate social, environmental,

42

43 ethical, human rights and consumer concerns into their business operations […] with the aim

44

45 of maximizing the creation of shared value for their owners/shareholders and for their other

46

47 stakeholders and society at large” (2011, p. 6). This conceptualization of CSR is in line with

48

49 the stakeholder approach, which views CSR as an extension of corporate governance, where a

50

51

52 firm’s duties extend beyond its shareholders to a broader group of stakeholders (Sacconi,

53

54 2011). Aguinis (2011, p. 855) proposed that CSR refers to “context-specific organizational

55

56 actions and policies that take into account the stakeholders’ expectations and the triple bottom

**Page 3 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 line of economic, social, and environmental performance.” This definition suggests that the

4

5 context in which firms operate influences CSR practices enormously.

6

7 Indeed, by definition, corporate responsibility is highly context dependent. Although

8

9 companies design their CSR practices individually, in so doing they are guided by the formal

10

11

12 and informal institutional environment of the countries in which they operate (Aguinis and

13

14 Glavas, 2012)–“the rules of the game” (North, 1990; Scott, 1995)–as well as their consumers,

15

16 suppliers, partners and other actors (Beschorner *et al*., 2013b). In particular, the industry or

17

18 sector in which a firm operates determines CSR practice, as industries have their specific

19

20

21 rules, norms, practices and trends. However, although the firm’s industry is critical to CSR

22

23 practice, an analysis of the academic literature on CSR from an industry perspective reveals

24

25 important variations in the interest that different industries attract. As one would expect, some

26

27 industries have come under scrutiny because of public scandals, such as the notorious collapse

28

29

30 of Enron, the American energy company. Particular attention has been paid to the petroleum,

31

32 financial, footwear and textile industries (e.g. Burcielli *et al*., 2009; Wu and Shen, 2013).

33

34 Other industries attract interest because they deal with essential consumer needs, like the food

35

36 and beverage industries (Lamberti and Lettieri, 2009; Hartmann, 2011) or the pharmaceutical

37

38 industry (Flanagan and Whiteman, 2007; Hemphill, 2010). Conversely, researchers have paid

39

40

41 little interest to industries such as aerospace, plastics or rubber.

42

43 In this study, we investigate the literature on industry-specific CSR practices. The research

44

45 questions that we aim to answer are the following: 1) On which industries has CSR research

46

47 focused to date? 2) What industry-specific CSR issues have been investigated in the most

48

49 studied industries? 3) How have attitudes to CSR developed in different industries, in view of

50

51

52 Frederick’s (2006) analysis of CSR stages? 4) What do the various CSR practices in different

53

54 industries tell us about the different motives behind CSR? 5) What direction should industry-

55

56 specific CSR research take in the future? To date, no study has investigated CSR research in

**European Business Review**

**Page 4 of 44**

1

2

3 detail from the perspective of different industries or sectors, although there have been a

4

5 number of reviews of the CSR literature, particularly in the last few years (see Salzmann *et*

6

7 *al*., 2005; Lee, 2008; Carroll and Shabana, 2010; Aguinis and Glavas, 2012). This is rather

8

9 surprising, because academics and practitioners increasingly agree that CSR practices are

10

11

12 context-specific (Sharma and Henriques, 2005; Stevens *et al*., 2005; Aguinis, 2011), and

13

14 shaped by industry conditions (Isaksson *et al*., 2014). In this study we aim to contribute to the

15

16 academic literature by providing an analysis of the research focusing on CSR practices in

17

18 specific industries and by outlining avenues for future research.

19

20

21 To analyze the industry-specific CSR literature we searched for academic articles on this topic

22

23 through The Web of Science Social Science Citation Index–SSCI. This search generated a list

24

25 of 302 articles, which we coded and analyzed. This analysis revealed how research in this area

26

27 has evolved, identified key academic outlets for such research and allowed us to rank

28

29

30 industries in terms of how much research they have stimulated. We were then able to map the

31

32 research field and indicate the gaps that need filling in the future.

33

34 The article is organized as follows. The next section presents an overview of the key

35

36 approaches to studying CSR. We then provide an overview of the literature focusing on CSR

37

38 practices from an industry perspective, before explaining the methods and the data used in our

39

40

41 study. Next, we present and discuss our findings. Finally, we outline the major contributions

42

43 of our work, its limits, implications and future research directions.

44

45

46

47 **1. Key approaches to studying CSR**

48

49

50

51

52 In his conceptualization of CSR, Frederick (2006) distinguished between CSR1, CSR2, and

53

54 CSR3. From the CSR1 perspective, corporate social responsibility is based on the belief that

55

56 business should contribute to the betterment of society, which grew out of a longstanding

**Page 5 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 commitment to corporate philanthropy dating from the 19th century (Frederick, 2006, p. 36).

4

5 Frederick argued that CSR1 was not clearly institutionalized, and that though it made strong

6

7 moral claims, its exact moral underpinnings were not agreed on. In many ways, CSR1 was the

8

9 kind of social responsibility that could be managed at arms-length from everyday business

10

11

12 operations. In fact, as we shall see below, some business practitioners believed that

13

14 corporations should focus on profitmaking, and that duties towards society were a private

15

16 responsibility, especially since the moral underpinnings of company-wide CSR were not

17

18 clearly established.

19

20

21 Milton Friedman, a famous example of this approach (Friedman, 1962), wrote an article in the

22

23 New York Times Magazine in 1970 in which he concluded that “there is one and only one

24

25 social responsibility of business: to use its resources and engage in activities designed to

26

27 increase its profits so long as it stays within the rules of the game, which is to say, engages in

28

29

30 open and free competition without deception or fraud” (p. 126). He believed that managers

31

32 who use company resources to support societal causes were in fact misappropriating

33

34 shareholder property. Despite its popularity at the time, especially among practitioners,

35

36 Friedman’s view of CSR has been extensively criticized, in particular his assumption that

37

38 there is no positive link between socially responsible behavior and financial performance

39

40

41 (Bruyaka *et al*., 2013). Since Friedman’s writings, the issues of firm responsibility and the

42

43 response of markets and society to business behavior have become central, particularly in the

44

45 last two decades. Frederick (1994) argued that CSR1 gave way to CSR2, which he defines as

46

47 “corporate social responsiveness”. Whereas philosophical overtones characterized CSR1,

48

49

50 CSR2 focused more on the practical aspects of making corporations respond to tangible social

51

52 forces in its environment. CSR2 was thus more pragmatic than CSR1. It emphasized the tools,

53

54 techniques, organizational structures and behavioral systems that a socially responsive

55

56

**European Business Review**

**Page 6 of 44**

1

2

3 company requires (Frederick, 2006, p. 43). This CSR perspective also initiated empirical

4

5 research on CSR.

6

7 However, in the shift from CSR1 to CSR2 the link between the moral dimensions of CSR1 and

8

9

10 the managerial dimensions of CSR2 remained unclear (ten Bos and Dunne, 2011). Frederick

11

12 (1994) proposed that a shift to CSR3 was imminent. He predicted (1994, p. 162) that the era of

13

14 CSR3, “will clarify both the moral dimensions implied by CSR1 and the managerial

15

16 dimensions of CSR2”. Frederick (2006, p. 90) described CSR3 as “corporate social rectitude”–

17

18 an approach acknowledging that ethics is central to management decisions and policies.

19

20

21 In the 10 years since Frederick analyzed the maturing of the CSR concept, the literature

22

23 indeed reflects this shift to CSR3. Papers demonstrate a concern for both moral correctness

24

25 and appropriate policies and programs. The increased interest in this field has led to the

26

27 emergence of a particular research field focusing on business ethics and CSR, and to the

28

29

30 establishment of academic journals especially dedicated to responsible business practices.

31

32 These include the *Journal of Business Ethics*, *Business Ethics Quarterly*, *Business Ethics: A*

33

34 *European Review*, and *Social Responsibility Journal*. The growing body of literature has led

35

36 to the emergence of conceptual frameworks analyzing the complex phenomenon of firm

37

38 responsibility. Three of the various approaches proposed in the academic literature have

39

40

41 particularly influenced CSR studies, precisely because they seem to combine the normative

42

43 and managerial dimensions of CSR. These are the stakeholder framework, developed by

44

45 Freeman (1984); Carroll’s (1991) CSR pyramid; and the recent shared value approach (Porter

46

47 and Kramer, 2006).

48

49 Freeman was one of the first academics who rejected Friedman’s perception of company

50

51

52 responsibility. Freeman’s (1984; 1994) and Freeman *et al*.’s (2010) main thesis is that an

53

54 organization should be managed in the interest of all people, organizations and groups within

55

56 and around it, and not just in the interest of shareholders. Drawing on several streams of

**Page 7 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 literature such as corporate planning, systems theory and corporate social responsibility,

4

5 Freeman argues that existing management theories are not equipped to address “the quantity

6

7 and kinds of change which are occurring in the business environment” (Freeman, 1984, p. 5),

8

9 such as takeovers, foreign competition, supranational agencies, activism, consumer

10

11

12 movements, increasing environmental concerns and Information and Communication

13

14 Technology (Laplume *et al*., 2008). These environmental shifts are occurring among both

15

16 internal stakeholders (owners, managers, customers, suppliers, employees) and external

17

18 stakeholders (governments, environmentalists, special interest groups, the media, competitors,

19

20

21 consumer groups), and it is important to consider these groups when designing corporate

22

23 strategy and shaping corporate behavior (Freeman, 1984). The consideration of stakeholder

24

25 interests is seen as playing an instrumental role in enhancing performance (Laplume *et al*.,

26

27 2008).

28

29

30 Carroll (1991, p. 40) defined CSR as a list of normative responsibilities that all corporations

31

32 have towards society. Building on his prior work (Carroll, 1979), he developed the “Pyramid

33

34 of CSR”, a four-part comprehensive model that distinguishes between four aspects of the

35

36 social world: economic, legal, ethical and philanthropic. The pyramid includes dimensions

37

38 that society requires from corporations and others that are simply preferred. In the revised

39

40

41 version of the 1991 model, Schwartz and Carroll (2003) include three types of ethical

42

43 standard in the model – conventional (i.e. formal codes of conduct), consequentialist

44

45 (utilitarianism or promoting the good of society), and deontological (moral rights and justice).

46

47 Activities that take place despite the company being aware that they conflict with moral

48

49 principles, and those that aim to produce a net benefit for the corporation rather than for

50

51

52 stakeholders, fall outside the ethical domain (Schwartz and Carroll, 2003).

53

54 Carroll’s (1991) model is one of the earliest models of the structure of responsibilities within

55

56 a corporation and is still widely used (Claydon, 2011), even though it has been criticized. One

**European Business Review**

**Page 8 of 44**

1

2

3 of the major criticisms is the fact that, according to the model, economically weak firms are

4

5 less likely to engage in CSR as they have fewer resources (Campbell, 2007). Moreover, the

6

7 model does not integrate the advantages of CSR for the firm (Campbell, 2007).

8

9 Following the global financial and economic crisis of 2008, research on CSR has blossomed.

10

11

12 Academics have examined the roots of the crisis and offered guidelines on how to avoid such

13

14 phenomena and act as a good corporate citizen. According to Porter and Kramer (2006) the

15

16 solution to the problem that capitalism is facing “lies in the principle of shared value, which

17

18 involves creating economic value in a way that also creates value for society by addressing its

19

20

21 needs and challenges.” (p. 64). According to these authors, shared value is different from

22

23 social responsibility, philanthropy and sustainability; it is rather a new way to achieve

24

25 economic success. The purpose of the corporation must be redefined as creating shared value,

26

27 not just profit *per se*. Shared value is defined as “policies and operating practices that enhance

28

29

30 the competitiveness of a company while simultaneously advancing the economic and social

31

32 conditions in the communities in which it operates” (Porter and Kramer, 2006, p. 66). These

33

34 authors argue that creating shared value (CSV) should supersede CSR in guiding corporate

35

36 investments, because while CSR programs focus on reputation and have only a limited

37

38 connection to the firm, CSV is integral to a company’s profitability and competitiveness.

39

40

41 To sum up, CSR literature has grown considerably since the 1970s. Different frameworks

42

43 have been proposed to study CSR, and interest in the ways CSR is practiced in different

44

45 industries has increased. This last point will be outlined in the following section.

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

**Page 9 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 **2. CSR in different industries: an overview of the literature**

4

5

6

7 Among the various actors who should play a leading role in addressing social responsibility

8

9 and sustainability, business organizations are often considered as pivotal (Fairbrass and

10

11

12 Zueva-Owens, 2012), because their practices affect production and consumption within

13

14 society (Fairbrass, 2011). However, business organizations do not act independently. At the

15

16 macro-level, they are influenced by the actions of governments and supra-national agencies.

17

18 At the meso-level, they are impacted by the rules of the game in their industry. Although CSR

19

20

21 has been discussed and researched for decades, according to McWilliams *et al*. (2006), it is

22

23 still an emerging area of inquiry. Moreover, CSR research is often characterized as

24

25 fragmented (Aguinis and Glavas, 2012). In particular, one aspect of CSR research that needs

26

27 further investigation is the relationship between CSR practices and the sector of activity

28

29

30 (Beschorner *et al*., 2013b). While the academic literature has acknowledged the impact of the

31

32 industry on CSR (Rowley and Berman, 2000; Hendry, 2006; O’Connor and Shumate, 2010;

33

34 Beschorner *et al*., 2013a), research on industry-specific CSR practices is still incomplete,

35

36 unbalanced and fragmented.

37

38 Yet most findings to date concur that the particular industry significantly affects CSR

39

40

41 activities. Hendry (2006) argues that activists first select the issue and industry before

42

43 targeting a specific firm. This highlights the importance of industries in the study of CSR.

44

45 Firms in the same industry share many stakeholders (for example they compete for the same

46

47 consumers). In addition, policymakers design regulations for specific industries, which means

48

49 that firms operating in the same industry face the same constraints (O’Connor and Shumate,

50

51

52 2010), such as environmental or labor regulations. Industry characteristics that make firms

53

54 more likely to engage in CSR include the following: 1) being closer to consumers in the value

55

56 chain (e.g. specialty retailers); 2) using environmental resources; and 3) heavy industry that

**European Business Review**

**Page 10 of 44**

1

2

3 can harm communities considerably (Rowley and Berman, 2000). According to Hendry

4

5 (2006), changes in CSR by prominent corporations are likely to spread across the field with

6

7 other firms mimicking such behavior. O’Connor and Shumate (2010) argue that firms in the

8

9 same industry may use similar CSR communication to influence similar stakeholders.

10

11

12 Findings suggest that CSR practices differ depending on the industry’s position in the value

13

14 chain. Industries further up the value-chain focus on employee safety, ethical business

15

16 practices, and environmental stewardship, whereas industries closer to customers tend to

17

18 focus on philanthropic and educational CSR practices (O’Connor and Shumate, 2010).

19

20

21 O’Connor and Shumate show that CSR communication differs significantly across industries,

22

23 depending on the type of value chain. The cross-sector study by O’Connor and Shumate

24

25 (2010) shows that corporations within the chemical, mining, petroleum and utilities industries

26

27 focus on three of the four levels of Carroll’s (1991) CSR pyramid in their communication: 1)

28

29

30 philanthropy, 2) ethical and 3) economic responsibilities. In contrast, firms closer to the

31

32 consumer in the value-chain, such as those in commercial banking, merchandising, hotels,

33

34 casinos, resorts, specialty retailing and telecommunications, communicate solely on their

35

36 philanthropic responsibilities. These findings suggest that firms within the same industry or in

37

38 a similar value-chain position mimic each other’s CSR communication, which negates the

39

40

41 idea that CSR allows firms to achieve a competitive advantage by distinguishing themselves

42

43 from others (Porter and Kramer, 2006). Based on an analysis of CSR disclosures by 50

44

45 American companies, Holder-Webb *et al*. (2008) conclude that the industry affects content,

46

47 emphasis, and reporting format choices. It thus appears that the industry with the closest ties

48

49 to the consumer-base and the community (food retail in this study) may disclose most CSR

50

51

52 information. Conversely, B-to-B industries report much less CSR information.

53

54 Focusing on charitable activities, Roberts (1992) argues that the industry influences CSR

55

56 activity. Furthermore, Useem (1988) points out that industries with high levels of public

**Page 11 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 contact, such as retailing, banking and insurance, create a greater need for positive image than

4

5 low contact industries such as mining or primary metals. Some industries are more vulnerable

6

7 than others are to public opinion, and so they use charitable giving as a means of inoculating

8

9 themselves against a negative image (Amato and Amato, 2012). Amato and Amato (2007)

10

11

12 conducted a cross-sectional study of corporate giving covering a broad spectrum of industries.

13

14 Their results indicate substantial industry effects, with industry dummy variables explaining

15

16 more than 20% of the variation in charitable contribution. These industry effects are attributed

17

18 to product features or other market attributes, which make all firms in a given industry

19

20

21 vulnerable in terms of public relations. Moreover, Brammer and Millington (2005) show that

22

23 the beneficial effects of charitable giving vary significantly across industries.

24

25 To summarize, research findings suggest that industries and sectors greatly affect CSR

26

27 activities. However, there is still no overall understanding of which industries have been

28

29

30 researched or of the key findings from specific industries. This study aims to enhance such

31

32 understanding by systematically reviewing the industry-specific CSR literature.

33

34

35

36 **3. Method and data generated**

37

38

39

40

41 In line with Dabic *et al*. (2014) and because, to the best of our knowledge, our study is the

42

43 first industry-level analysis of the CSR literature, we decided not to restrict our research to

44

45 specific journals, but to include all the outlets indexed and abstracted in the Social Science

46

47 Citation Index (SSCI). Moreover, the SSCI database includes the most relevant journals for

48

49 CSR, sustainable development and business ethics research such as the *Journal of Business*

50

51

52 *Ethics*, *Business Ethics Quarterly*, *Business Ethics: A European Review*, *Corporate Social*

53

54 *Responsibility and Environmental Management* and *Business Strategy and the Environment*.

55

56 The SSCI database also includes English abstracts of papers published in other languages.

**European Business Review**

**Page 12 of 44**

1

2

3 We conducted a general search for CSR-related and industry-related terms. We searched for

4

5 “corporate social responsibility” or “CSR” and “business ethics” or “ethic” and “industry” or

6

7 “sectors”. We used the wildcard “\*” to ensure we obtained all possible combinations of the

8

9 lexeme “corporate responsibility”, “industry” and “sector” (e.g. “responsibility, responsible”,

10

11

12 industries”, “industry”, “industrial”, “sector”, “sectors”, “sectorial”).

13

14 We concentrated on terms related to CSR and business ethics, including related terms in the

15

16 literature. We drew on Carroll’s (1999) analysis of the evolution of the CSR concept to

17

18 identify these related terms. In the 1970s, at the beginning of the concept’s development,

19

20

21 typical subheads related to CSR were: corporate responsibility, social responsibility, social

22

23 action, public service, corporate citizenship, public responsibility, and social responsiveness

24

25 (Carroll, 1999, p. 281). In the 1980s, CSR research started to splinter into alternative concepts

26

27 and themes such as corporate social responsiveness, corporate social performance (CSP),

28

29

30 public policy, business ethics and stakeholder theory/management (Carroll, 1999, p. 284).

31

32 In the 1990s, Wood’s refinement of the CSP concept (Wood, 1991) emphasized corporate

33

34 social responsiveness, and highlighted processes such as environmental assessment,

35

36 stakeholder management and issues management (Carroll, 1999, p. 289). This led Carroll to

37

38 include the term “corporate citizenship” in his formal definition of CSR. Carroll found that by

39

40

41 the end of the 1990s, the following research areas were considered important within the

42

43 broader “business and society” field (in order of importance): business ethics, international

44

45 social issues, business and society, corporate social performance, environmental issues,

46

47 theory/research methods development, issues within corporations, strategic issues, corporate

48

49 governance, and stakeholders (Carroll, 1999, p. 291). Since then, “sustainability” has also

50

51

52 emerged as an important umbrella concept for a wide variety of CSR and business ethics

53

54 issues (Amidi and Bienstock, 2014).

55

56

**Page 13 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 Studies of ethical sustainability highlight the importance of simultaneously managing “the

4

5 triple bottom-line” of people, planet and profit (Montiel and Delgado-Ceballos, 2014). Social

6

7 and environmental factors, as well as ethics and governance, stakeholder management, and

8

9 CSP are central to the “sustainability” construct. Since we are satisfied that the CSR research

10

11

12 areas mentioned above also cover the sustainability construct, we did not include

13

14 “sustainability” as a separate search term. Moreover, we wanted to avoid including in our

15

16 sample articles that cover only “business sustainability”, dealing with the longevity of the

17

18 firm rather than with ethical and CSR issues.

19

20

21 We conducted two steps searches in the Social Science Citation Index (Web of Science) and

22

23 then extracted tables using QDA Miner. Finally, we extracted from the SSCI a list of papers

24

25 with citations focusing on industry-specific CSR. This database granted access to

26

27 homogenous information, while controlling the quality of academically relevant

28

29

30 contributions. We analyzed 20 years of data to find the developments that have shaped the

31

32 current state of corporate social responsibility and business ethics in specific sectors and

33

34 industries, and to study research trends and trajectories in this area. The timescale was

35

36 therefore limited to the period between January 1995 (when the first paper was published) and

37

38 December 2014. The search was conducted on the 5th February 2015 and a table with authors,

39

40

41 paper titles, journals information, author keywords and abstract was formed in one excel

42

43 document. The extraction of articles and QDM (Wordstata 7) analysis took place between 5

44

45 and 20 February 2015. The document type selected was “article”. The search yielded a list of

46

47 302 academic articles once we deleted duplicates. We reviewed the list manually to ensure

48

49 that the results were valid and the articles correctly classified.

50

51

52 After selecting the articles, we conducted a basic descriptive statistics analysis to understand

53

54 the field of study better in terms of quantity of papers published per year, authors, subject

55

56 areas and authors’ origins. Apart from our statistical processing of numerical data, we

**European Business Review**

**Page 14 of 44**

1

2

3 analyzed text fields using copyright keywords, assigned keywords and the abstract. We then

4

5 conducted a content analysis of the words in the title and abstracts and keywords provided by

6

7 authors, using both manual and computer-aided checks to ensure the procedure was reliable.

8

9 For our analysis, we used Wordstat 6.1 software. This software has been used in more than

10

11

12 300 articles in different academic fields, including business and management. For example,

13

14 Gray *et al*. (2004) analyzed annual reports of UK and Finnish enterprises to identify how they

15

16 communicate about intangible resources. Levy (2005) used the software to analyze the

17

18 influence of senior management attention patterns on corporate global strategy. Morris (1994)

19

20

21 analyzed computerized content analysis in management research, concluding that the method

22

23 is appropriate. In addition, Pollach (2011) conducted a critical review of the software.

24

25 From our content analysis we identified 882 keywords provided by the authors; subject terms

26

27 represented and other relevant information. We then coded the sectors and industries referred

28

29

30 to in the articles manually, using ISIC classification, and grouped them into subcategories to

31

32 facilitate analysis. We also identified a selection of representative articles (the most cited

33

34 ones) that we read through and analyzed in detail.

35

36 Table 1 shows the characteristics of the sample.

37

38 *Insert Table 1 about here*

39

40

41

42

43 **4. Findings**

44

45

46

47 Our findings show that, after the first study appeared in 1995, the number of studies reporting

48

49 on CSR activities in different industries gradually increased until 2008-2009, when it rose

50

51

52 sharply, peaking in 2009 (44 articles). Since 2009, the number of articles has been stable at

53

54 around 30 per year before peaking again in 2014 (see Figure 1). Although we cannot be

55

56 certain, we assume that the global financial crisis triggered the significant increase in

**Page 15 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 academic publications analyzing CSR at the end of the last decade (Aguinis and Glavas,

4

5 2012).

6

7 Despite the fact that the 302 articles in our study were published in 99 different journals,

8

9 research on CSR in specific industries is concentrated in a small number of journals, with the

10

11

12 *Journal of Business Ethics* accounting for almost 40% of the papers in the sample (Table 3).

13

14 However, this may be because the *Journal of Business Ethics* publishes multiple volumes per

15

16 year, whereas other journals are often restricted to one volume with four regular and one or

17

18 two special issues. Other representative journals include *Corporate Social Responsibility and*

19

20

21 *Environmental Management, Business Ethics: A European Review*, *Management Decision*,

22

23 *Journal of Economic Geography, Business Strategy and the Environment*, *Journal of Cleaner*

24

25 *Production*, *International Journal of Hospitality Management, Business & Society* and *British*

26

27 *Food Journal* (see Table 2).

28

29

30 *Insert Table 2 about here*

31

32 While authors are from different locations (see Table 3 for the 10 leading countries), English-

33

34 speaking countries make up 59.6 % of the sample, with the U.S. as the leader. When

35

36 interpreting this finding we have to take account of other variables such as the size of a

37

38 country, and its number of tertiary institutions and researchers. Table 3 below does not

39

40

41 necessarily indicate that American researchers focus more on industry-specific CSR than

42

43 those in other countries, given the size of the country, the population and investment in higher

44

45 education. More telling is the fact that Europe seems to have yielded the vast majority of the

46

47 studies (193), which points to European researchers’ interest in industry-specific CSR issues.

48

49 Our search did not take into account those articles published in other languages than English

50

51

52 and that do not provide an abstract in English, however, articles with abstracts in English

53

54 were included in our sample. Moreover, our search is restricted to those outlets referenced in

55

56 the ISI-Web of Science.

**European Business Review**

**Page 16 of 44**

1

2

3 *Insert Table 3 about here*

4

5 Authors provided 882 keywords for their papers. Those most frequently used are CSR,

6

7 business ethics, social responsibility, sustainability, stakeholders, sustainable development,

8

9 supply chain management, corporate governance and globalization. Table 4 shows the top 30

10

11

12 keywords represented in the sample. As for the industries, food is the only one that stands out

13

14 among the keywords (see Table 4).

15

16 *Insert Table 4 about here*

17

18 With regard to conceptual models or approaches, while some authors take a specific approach,

19

20

21 others rely on concepts or individual variables. For example, several authors study the link

22

23 between social and financial performance, without grounding their work in any specific

24

25 conceptual framework, although some underpinnings can be assumed. Authors who applied

26

27 conceptual frameworks to their research used a variety of models. The most used frameworks

28

29

30 in this field of CSR research are the stakeholder approach (Freeman, 1984), Carroll’s (1991)

31

32 CSR pyramid and the Resource-Based View (Wernerfelt, 1984; Barney, 1991).

33

34 Our analysis shows that the number of studies of specific sectors and industries varies

35

36 enormously. Different industries have also been studied in different ways. Some studies focus

37

38 on a single industry or on a small number of industries. These studies provide detailed

39

40

41 accounts of industry-specific CSR. Some studies use cross-industry samples to increase the

42

43 generalizability of their findings. Other cross-industry studies seek to identify differences

44

45 based on industry characteristics. Finally, in some studies industry or sector is used only as a

46

47 control variable.

48

49 Table 5 presents the breakdown of the sample by sector. The bulk of the research focusing on

50

51

52 a single sector or a small number of sectors is in the secondary and tertiary sectors (see Table

53

54 5).

55

56 *Insert Table 5 about here*

**Page 17 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 Table 6 shows the breakdown of industries in the sample, as per ISIC classification (see Table

4

5 6).

6

7 *Insert Table 6 about here*

8

9 The most researched industries are thus retail, pharmaceuticals, mining and quarrying (which

10

11

12 includes the petroleum and gas industries), tourism, textile and clothing, food, banking, and

13

14 finance and insurance. Surprisingly, some industries that one would expect to attract great

15

16 interest, such as the automotive industry, restaurants, or electric power generation seem

17

18 underresearched (3-4 articles published in the 20-year period). Moreover, many industries are

19

20

21 absent from the list, such as rubber products, printing, plastic, synthetic materials, glass, air

22

23 and spacecraft and related machinery, nuclear energy, wholesale, postal and courier services,

24

25 publishing, legal activities and management consultancy.

26

27 After this overview of the results for our entire sample we now present key findings

28

29

30 concerning the research on the seven industries most represented in our sample: retail,

31

32 pharmaceuticals, mining and quarrying, tourism, textile and clothing, food, and banking.

33

34 These findings show that different issues are scrutinized in different industries. This confirms

35

36 the fact that CSR is highly context-specific.

37

38 *I. Retail.* Retail (15 published studies) is the most researched industry in our sample. Research

39

40

41 on CSR in the retail industry has applied different methods: quantitative surveys, secondary

42

43 data analysis and case studies. Authors have investigated issues such as ethical trade, ethical

44

45 trading principles, consumer loyalty, corporate financial performance, brand trust, brand

46

47 loyalty and affection, organic products, ethical labels, global value chains and global

48

49 production networks. Researchers have studied British and American retailers in particular,

50

51

52 followed by Swedish and French firms. Articles have appeared in journals such as *Business*

53

54 *Strategy & the Environment*, *Journal of Economic Geography* and *Journal of Business Ethics*

55

56 (e.g., Hughes, 2005; Jones *et al*., 2005; Hughes *et al*., 2013).

**European Business Review**

**Page 18 of 44**

1

2

3 *II. Pharmaceuticals.* The pharmaceutical industry has attracted significant interest in recent

4

5 years, because of the controversial issues of generic drugs and extraordinary pricing. Studies

6

7 focusing on CSR in this industry have dealt with issues such as stakeholder response to the

8

9 behavior of pharmaceutical firms, relationships with physicians, CSR drivers and industry

10

11

12 best practices, compulsory licensing, clinical trials, reputation, patient access to drugs, orphan

13

14 drugs, research and development and innovation. Methods include illustrative examples and

15

16 qualitative and quantitative studies. Most of the research has appeared in the *Journal of*

17

18 *Business Ethics* (e.g. Hemphill, 2010; Bruyaka *et al*., 2013).

19

20

21 *III. Mining and quarrying*. This category includes the extraction of coal, metals, sand, salt,

22

23 peat, as well as petroleum (oil) and gas. Authors studying business ethics and CSR in this

24

25 industry have mainly used qualitative methods, particularly case studies and interviews with

26

27 stakeholder groups. The stakeholder approach is often the conceptual framework for this

28

29

30 research. For the extraction of coal and other materials, case studies focus on mining

31

32 companies but also on how mining projects are perceived by stakeholders. Oil and gas case

33

34 studies deal with global companies operating in different settings. Topics and issues

35

36 investigated include the CSR orientation of mining companies, mining ethics, environmental

37

38 impact, legal responsibilities, sustainability reporting, conflicts with communities and

39

40

41 resettlement and displacement. As for the pharmaceutical industry, most of the research has

42

43 appeared in the *Journal of Business Ethics* (e.g. Cragg and Greenbaum, 2002; Logsdon and

44

45 Wood, 2005; Yakovleva and Vazquez-Brust, 2012).

46

47 *IV. Tourism.* Research examining responsible business practices in the tourism and hospitality

48

49 industry has appeared in specialist journals such as *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, *Tourism*

50

51

52 *Management*, *International Journal of Hospitality Management* and *Journal of Travel and*

53

54 *Tourism Marketing*. Authors analyze both SMEs and large companies in this area, applying

55

56 quantitative, qualitative and secondary data analysis techniques. Research deals with CSR in

**Page 19 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 tourism firms, sustainable tourism, consumer trust, consumer perceptions and attitudes

4

5 towards CSR practice in tourism firms (e.g. Kang *et al*., 2012; Marchoo *et al*., 2014).

6

7 *V. Textile and clothing.* In this industry researchers have studied issues such as supply chain

8

9 management, sourcing (for example in China or Sri Lanka), ethical trade, worker treatment,

10

11

12 homeworker status and conditions, women workers, sweatshops, union organizing, anti-

13

14 consumerism. Most articles used case studies to analyze garment firms and their suppliers.

15

16 Articles have appeared in a variety of journals such as *Journal of Cultural Studies*, *Journal of*

17

18 *Business Ethics*, *Global Networks – A Journal of Transnational Affairs* and *Progress in*

19

20

21 *Development Studies* (e.g. Ross, 2008; Burchielli *et al*., 2009).

22

23 *VI. Food.* Studies on CSR in the food industry focus on a variety of topics including CSR

24

25 communication, organic products, consumer trust, ethical labels, nutrition facts, fair trade,

26

27 slow food, social movements, transparency, information disclosure, consumer attitudes to

28

29

30 food products and food companies. Most of the research uses case studies and investigates

31

32 specific food companies. Research has appeared in a variety of outlets such as *Journal of*

33

34 *Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, *Journal of Business Ethics*, *British Food Journal* and

35

36 *European Review of Agricultural Economics* (e.g. Maloni and Brown, 2006; Hartmann,

37

38 2011).

39

40

41 *VII. Banking*. Research reporting on business ethics and responsible business practices in the

42

43 banking sector uses mainly quantitative techniques and secondary data content analyses,

44

45 focusing on corporate websites and annual reports. The issues and topics researched include

46

47 the relationship between CSR and financial performance, drivers of CSR adoption, customer

48

49 perception of their bank’s CSR performance, CSR and corporate identity, regulations and

50

51

52 their violation, and consumer awareness of CSR practices. Research has appeared in several

53

54 journals such as *Organization Studies*, *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *Journal of Business*

55

56 *Ethics* and *Service Business* (e.g. Bravo *et al*., 2012; Wu and Shen, 2013).

**European Business Review**

**Page 20 of 44**

1

2

3 Figure 2 provides a conceptual representation of industries, as informed by our literature

4

5 review. This representation maps the industries on which at least five articles have been

6

7 published, according to the types of issues that were investigated predominantly. We

8

9 identified four axes that can be used to map the industries: human issues, environmental

10

11

12 issues, business ethics, and image and brand-related issues. As the figure shows, some issues

13

14 were found to be dominant in some industries whereas in other industries a combination of

15

16 issues has been investigated. Thus, in agriculture, forestry and fishing environmental issues

17

18 seem to be dominant, while in food and retail a combination of human, business ethics and

19

20

21 image and brand-related issues are investigated. Pharmaceuticals, weapons and tobacco

22

23 industries have mainly raised interest in human and business ethics-related issues. Textile

24

25 industry is highly marked by human issues and by a combination of business ethics and image

26

27 and brand-related issues. While both mining and quarrying and tourism show a tendency for

28

29

30 environmental issues, mining and quarrying attracts investigations related to business ethics,

31

32 while tourism is more oriented towards examining brand and image-related issues (see Figure

33

34 2).

35

36

37

38 *Insert Figure 2 about here*

39

40

41

42

43 We present a selection of articles with details of samples, methods, conceptual frameworks,

44

45 key issues and key findings in Table 7.

46

47 We now discuss the key issues and methods that characterize specific industries, and these

48

49 industries’ approaches toward these issues. We also highlight the main research gaps that

50

51

52 emerge from the data.

53

54

55

56 **5. Discussion**

**Page 21 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3

4

5 The results of our research indicate that the distribution of CSR studies across different

6

7 industries is highly unequal. The bulk of research concentrates on a few industries while

8

9 many industries are under-researched. This demonstrates that industry-specific CSR research

10

11

12 is incomplete. The results show that surprisingly few articles focus on CSR practices in

13

14 specific industries, given the thousands of articles that comprise CSR research as a whole, and

15

16 that industry-specific CSR research needs to expand considerably in the future. There is scope

17

18 for future CSR studies in industries that are underrepresented in or absent from our sample,

19

20

21 such as electricity provision, restaurants and the automotive industry. This kind of research is

22

23 of utmost importance, because industry characteristics greatly influence CSR practices, as

24

25 prior research has documented (Amato and Amato, 2007; 2012; O’Connor and Shumate,

26

27 2010; Beschorner *et al*., 2013a). This need is also supported by the large number of citations

28

29

30 that articles in our sample have attracted, indicating the relevance of this segment of CSR

31

32 research, for both academics and practitioners.

33

34 Our results indicate that research covers a wide variety of topics. We also highlight the

35

36 prevalence of specific topics in specific industries. For example, research on the textile and

37

38 clothing industry focuses mainly on labor-related issues (women workers, homeworkers,

39

40

41 sweatshops) whereas studies on mining and quarrying deal with environmental issues and

42

43 relations between mining companies and communities. In banking, the focus is on business

44

45 ethics and on CSR reporting and brand building. This industry seems to pursue CSR largely

46

47 for reputation-building purposes, and to consider business ethics and compliance as separate

48

49 issues.

50

51

52 While the research issues clearly stem from the major concerns of each industry, an

53

54 examination of the literature on different industries might identify other issues worthy of

55

56 research. Thus, for example, the labor-related issues prevalent in the textile and clothing

**European Business Review**

**Page 22 of 44**

1

2

3 industry should perhaps also be examined in other sectors, such as mining, transportation,

4

5 food, agriculture or forestry. A more systematic examination of various CSR-related issues

6

7 across industries would identify how industry context shapes CSR practice, leading to a

8

9 model of industry influence on CSR.

10

11

12 The various industries also address their CSR priorities in different ways, which reveal their

13

14 different motivations for pursuing CSR. Our research found that most industries have moved

15

16 beyond philanthropic inclinations. Bill Frederick described this early phase as CSR1. It

17

18 entailed managing the business for profit first, and then donating to charitable causes out of a

19

20

21 sense of moral duty to society (Frederick, 2006, p. 36). Especially in the extractive industries,

22

23 companies now focus on pragmatic CSR methods and models (i.e. how CSR should be

24

25 implemented), largely to manage risk. This is characteristic of what Frederick called CSR2,

26

27 which emphasized the tools, techniques, organizational structures and behavioral systems a

28

29

30 socially responsive company should use (Frederick, 2006, p. 43). For instance, we found this

31

32 orientation in the energy industry, where environmental and safety risk demands strict

33

34 compliance and efficient management models.

35

36 However, we also observed that companies in some industries are going yet further. The wide

37

38 range of CSR research topics in the retail industry indicates a more sophisticated

39

40

41 understanding of CSR. Our findings do not suggest that the retail industry’s proximity to the

42

43 customer leads to research focusing on philanthropic activities, as O’Connor and Shumate

44

45 (2010) suggested. Instead, proximity to the customer seems to stimulate interest in how

46

47 commitment to brand principles and ethical trade can enhance brand value, trust, and

48

49 customer loyalty. We see here that researchers are interested in studying integrated CSR,

50

51

52 which would be characteristic of Frederick’s (2006) CSR3. This entails integrating CSR fully

53

54 into corporate business models and, in so doing, reconciling business and moral imperatives.

55

56

**Page 23 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 The shift to CSR3 is indeed reflected in our findings. From our perspective, papers combine

4

5 concerns for moral correctness and for proper policies and programs. In the pharmaceutical

6

7 industry, for instance, we see great interest in the stakeholder approach to CSR, in addition to

8

9 the more typical concern for legality. The stakeholder approach is also characteristic of the

10

11

12 mining industry. One question in this regard is whether the stakeholder approach has a

13

14 sufficiently strong normative basis. In their most recent reflection on the development of

15

16 stakeholder theory, Freeman *et al*. (2010) address, with varying success, the accusation that

17

18 the theory is normatively vacuous. More research on the normative dimension of industry-

19

20

21 specific CSR would be helpful in this regard.

22

23 Concerning the methodologies used in various industries, some interesting facts emerged. For

24

25 instance, CSR studies in the mining industry are predominantly qualitative. There certainly

26

27 seems to be a need for more quantitative studies in this industry. The fact that studies in the

28

29

30 tourism industry span both SMEs and large companies may explain the variety of methods

31

32 employed, however, it would be good to see research on CSR in SMEs in other industries as

33

34 well. Though it is not surprising that CSR research in the textile industry largely focuses on

35

36 supply chain issues, one would hope that the dominant case study methodology will be

37

38 supplemented with quantitative research and other qualitative research methods. For example,

39

40

41 since studying normative orientations in this industry seems particularly important, abductive

42

43 methods (Alvesson and Skoldberg, 2009) may be of particular interest.

44

45 Furthermore, our results also suggest that a more thorough and systematic examination of

46

47 CSR practices in different industries might lead to the development of new conceptual

48

49 frameworks that would enhance understanding of specific issues in specific industries.

50

51

52 Indeed, while the articles examined use many different frameworks, most of them are used

53

54 independently, and not combined with other frameworks. Combining frameworks from

55

56 different disciplines, and developing original conceptual models would allow to further

**European Business Review**

**Page 24 of 44**

1

2

3 advance this field of study. Future research should also strive to integrate contextual

4

5 (industry-specific) features in both theoretical and empirical studies.

6

7

8

9

10 **Conclusion**

11

12

13

14 The key contribution of our work is that we provide the first extensive, systematic analysis of

15

16 the industry-specific CSR literature. We map this field of study, highlight the trends, and

17

18 point to the approaches that the most researched industries take towards CSR. In the process,

19

20

21 we identify gaps and suggest avenues for future research. However, as with any study, this

22

23 one has limitations.

24

25 Methodologically speaking, one of the main limitations is that the study is restricted to journal

26

27 articles. Many books have been published on CSR, including that by Beschorner *et al*.

28

29

30 (2013a), which focused on sector-specific corporate responsibility, it will be important to

31

32 consider such work in future analyses. Moreover, studies of particular journals or topics could

33

34 lead to more detailed analyses and discussion of contextual variables.

35

36 Much needs to be done to develop the content and theoretical contributions of this research

37

38 field. Our study opens up many new research questions and perspectives. For example, more

39

40

41 research is necessary to understand how far CSR in specific industries has come in bridging

42

43 the gap between normative concerns and pragmatic management tools. For instance, it would

44

45 be interesting to see whether research addresses the terms “CSR” and “Business Ethics”

46

47 together, or separately. This may show whether normative concerns are fully integrated into

48

49 studies of CSR policies and management or into articles that pursue “the business case for

50

51

52 CSR”. Studying differences in approach in certain geographical contexts would also be

53

54 interesting – could it be that studies of the European context focus more on CSR, and those

55

56 originating from the USA more on business ethics, corporate governance and compliance?

**Page 25 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 In addition to pointing to new research directions, our study has implications for practitioners

4

5 and policy makers. It indicates to managers the extent to which CSR-related issues have been

6

7 scrutinized and are considered important in different industries, and how the industry-specific

8

9 context influences CSR focus. Our analysis also shows that policy makers need to understand

10

11

12 the influence of context on CSR better. Such an understanding would enable them to develop

13

14 policies accounting for the specific feature of different industrial contexts, rather than merely

15

16 “one size fits all” measures.

17

18

19

20

21 **References**

22

23

24 Aguinis, H. (2011), “Organizational responsibility: Doing good and doing well”, in Zedeck,

25

26 S. (Ed.), *APA handbook of industrial and organizational psycholog*y, Vol. 3, American

27

28 Psychological Association, Washington, DC, pp. 855-879.

29

30 Aguinis, H. and Glavas, A. (2012), “What we know and don’t know about Corporate Social

31

32

33 Responsibility: A review and research agenda”, *Journal of Management*, Vol. 38, No. 4, pp.

34

35 932-968.

36

37 Alvesson, M. and Sköldberg, K. (2009), *Reflexive methodology: New vistas for qualitative*

38

39 *research*, Sage, London.

40

41 Amato, L.H. and Amato, C.H. (2007), “The effects of firm size and industry on corporate

42

43

44 giving”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 72, No. 3, pp. 229-241.

45

46 Amato, L.H. and Amato, C.H. (2012), “Retail philanthropy: Firm size, industry and business

47

48 cycle”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 107, No. 4, pp. 435-448.

49

50 Amidi, M. and Bienstock, C.C. (2014), “Corporate Sustainability: an integrative definition

51

52

53 and framework to evaluate corporate and guide academic research”, *Journal of Cleaner*

54

55 *Production,* Vol. 76, No. 1, pp. 12-19.

**European Business Review**

**Page 26 of 44**

1

2

3 Barney, J. (1991), “Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage”, *Journal of*

4

5 *Management*, Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 99-120.

6

7 Beschorner, T., Hajduk, T. and Simeonov, S. (Eds.) (2013a), *Corporate Responsibility in*

8

9 *Europe,* Verlag Bertelsmann Stiftung, Gütersloh.

10

11

12 Beschorner, T., Hajduk, T. and Simeonov, S. (2013b), “Sector-specific Corporate

13

14 Responsibility in Europe: Introduction”, in Beschorner, T., Hajduk, T. and Simeonov, S.

15

16 (Eds.), *Corporate Responsibility in Europe,* Verlag Bertelsmann Stiftung, Gütersloh, pp. 23-

17

18 39.

19

20

21 Brammer, S. and Millington, A. (2005), “Corporate reputation and philanthropy: An empirical

22

23 analysis”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 61, No. 1, pp. 29-44.

24

25 Bravo, R., Matute, J. and Pina, J.M. (2012), “Corporate Social Responsibility as a vehicle to

26

27 reveal the corporate identity: A study focused on the websites of Spanish financial entities”,

28

29

30 *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 107, No. 2, pp. 129-146.

31

32 Bruyaka, O., Zeitzmann, H.K., Chalamon, I., Wokutch, R.E. and Thakur, P. (2013), “Strategic

33

34 Corporate Social Responsibility and orphan drug development: insights from the US and the

35

36 EU biopharmaceutical industry”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 117, No. 1, pp. 45-65.

37

38 Burcielli, R., Deloney, A., Tate, J. and Coventry, K. (2009), “The FairWear campaign: An

39

40

41 ethical network in the Australian garment industry”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 90

42

43 (Special issue), pp. 575-588.

44

45 Campbell, J.L. (2007), “Why would corporations behave in socially responsible ways? An

46

47 institutional theory of corporate social responsibility”, *Academy of Management Review*, Vol.

48

49 32, No. 3, pp. 946-967.

50

51

52 Carroll, A.B. (1979), “A three-dimensional conceptual model of corporate performance”,

53

54 *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 4, No.4, pp. 497-505.

**Page 27 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 Carroll, A.B. (1991), “The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral

4

5 management of organizational stakeholders”, *Business Horizons*, Vol. 34, No. 4, pp. 39-48.

6

7 Carroll, A.B. (1999), “Corporate Social Responsibility. Evolution of a definitional construct”,

8

9 *Business & Society*, Vol. 38, No. 3, pp. 268-295.

10

11

12 Carroll, A.B. and Shabana, K.M. (2010), “The business case for corporate social

13

14 responsibility: a review of concepts, research and practice”, *International Journal of*

15

16 *Management Reviews*, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 85-105.

17

18 Claydon, J. (2011), “A new direction for CSR: the shortcomings of previous CSR models and

19

20

21 the rationale for a new model”, *Social Responsibility Journal*, Vol. 7, No. 3, pp. 405-420.

22

23 Cragg, W. and Greenbaum, A. (2002), “Reasoning about responsibilities: Mining company

24

25 managers on what stakeholders are owed”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 39, No. 3, pp.

26

27 319-335.

28

29

30 Dabic, M., Gonzales-Loureiro, M. and Furrer, O. (2014), “Research on the strategy of

31

32 multinational enterprises: Key approaches and new avenues”, *Business Research Quarterly*,

33

34 Vol. 17, No. 2, pp. 129-148.

35

36 European Commission (2011), *A renewed EU strategy 2011-14 for Corporate Social*

37

38 *Responsibility*, European Commission, Brussels.

39

40

41 Fairbrass, J. (2011), “Exploring Corporate Social Responsibility policy in the European

42

43 Union: A discursive institutionalist analysis”, *Journal of Common Market Studies*, Vol. 49,

44

45 No. 5, pp. 949-970.

46

47 Fairbrass, J. and Zueva-Owens, A. (2012), “Conceptualising Corporate Social Responsibility:

48

49 ‘Relational governance’ assessed, augmented, and adapted”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol.

50

51

52 105, No. 3, pp. 321-335.

53

54

**European Business Review**

**Page 28 of 44**

1

2

3 Flanagan, W. and Whiteman, G. (2007), “AIDS is not a business: A study in global corporate

4

5 responsibility - Securing access to low-cost HIV medications”, *Journal of Business Ethics*,

6

7 Vol. 73, No. 1, pp. 65-75.

8

9 Frederick, W.C. (1994), “From CSR1 to CSR2. The maturing of business-and-society

10

11

12 thought”, *Business & Society*, Vol. 33, No. 2, pp. 150-164.

13

14 Frederick, W.C. (2006), *Corporation, be good? The story of Corporate Social Responsibility,*

15

16 Dog Ear Publishing, Indianapolis.

17

18 Freeman, R.E. (1984), *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*, Pitman Publishing,

19

20

21 London.

22

23 Freeman, R.E. (1994), “The politics of stakeholder theory: Some future directions”, *Business*

24

25 *Ethics Quarterly*, Vol. 4, No. 4, pp. 409-421.

26

27 Freeman, R.E. Harrison, J.S., Wicks, A.C. Parmar, B.L. and de Colle, S. (2010), *Stakeholder*

28

29

30 *Theory: The state of the art*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

31

32 Friedman, M. (1962), *Capitalism and freedom,* Chicago University Press, Chicago.

33

34 Friedman, M. (1970), “The Social Responsibility of business is to increase profits”, *The New*

35

36 *York Times Magazine*, September 13.

37

38 Gray, D., Roos, G. and Rastas, T. (2004), “What intangible resources do companies value,

39

40

41 measure, and report? A synthesis of UK and Finnish research”, *International Journal of*

42

43 *Learning and Intellectual Capital*, Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 242–261.

44

45 Hah, K. and Freeman, S. (2014), “Multinational enterprise subsidiaries and their CSR: A

46

47 conceptual framework of the management of CSR in smaller emerging economies”, *Journal*

48

49 *of Business Ethics*, Vol. 122, No. 1, pp. 125-136.

50

51

52 Hartmann, M. (2011), “Corporate social responsibility in the food sector”, *European Review*

53

54 *of Agricultural Economics*, Vol. 38, No. 3, pp. 297-324.

**Page 29 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 Hemphill, T.A. (2010), “Extraordinary pricing of orphan drugs: Is it a socially responsible

4

5 strategy for the US pharmaceutical industry?”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 94, No. 2, pp.

6

7 225-242.

8

9 Hendry, J.R. (2006), “Taking aim at business: What factors lead environmental

10

11

12 nongovernmental organizations to target particular firms?”, *Business & Society,* Vol. 45, No.

13

14 1, pp. 47-86.

15

16 Holder-Webb, L., Cohen, J.R., Nath, L. and Wood, D. (2008), “The supply of Corporate

17

18 Social Responsibility disclosures among U.S. firms”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 84, No.

19

20

21 4, pp. 497-527.

22

23 Hughes, A. (2005), “Corporate strategy and the management of ethical trade: The case of the

24

25 UK food and clothing retailers”, *Environment and Planning A*, Vol. 37, No. 7, pp. 1145-1163.

26

27 Hughes, A., McEwan, C. and Bek, D. (2013), “Retailers, supply networks and changing

28

29

30 articulations of ethicality: lessons from Flower Valley in South Africa”, *Journal of Economic*

31

32 *Geography*, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 1468-2702.

33

34 Isaksson, L., Kessling, T. and Harvey, M. (2014), “Corporate Social Responsibly: Who

35

36 cares?”, *Organizational Dynamics*, Vol. 43, No. 1, pp. 76-84.

37

38 Jones, P., Comfort, D., Hillier, D. and Eastwood, I. (2005), “Corporate social responsibility:

39

40

41 A case study of the UK’s leading food retailers”, *British Food Journal*, Vol. 107, No. 6, pp.

42

43 423-435.

44

45 Kang, K.H., Stein, L., Heo, C.Y. and Lee, S. (2012), “Consumers’ willingness to pay for

46

47 green initiatives of the hotel industry”, *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Vol.

48

49 31, No. 2, pp. 564-572.

50

51

52 Lamberti, L. and Lettieri, E. (2009), “CSR practices and corporate strategy: Evidence from a

53

54 longitudinal case study”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 87, No. 2, pp. 153-168.

**European Business Review**

**Page 30 of 44**

1

2

3 Laplume, A.O., Sonpar, K., and Litz, R.A. (2008), “Stakeholder theory: Reviewing a theory

4

5 that moves us”, *Journal of Management*, Vol. 34, No. 6, pp. 1152-1189.

6

7 Lee, M.D.P. (2008), “A review of the theories of corporate social responsibility: Its

8

9 evolutionary path and the road ahead”, *International Journal of Management Reviews*, Vol.

10

11

12 10, No. 1, pp. 53-73.

13

14 Levy, O. (2005), “The influence of top management team attention patterns on global

15

16 strategic posture of firms”, *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, Vol. 26, No. 7, pp. 797-819.

17

18 Logsdon, J.M. and Wood, D.J. (2005), “Global business citizenship and voluntary codes of

19

20

21 ethical conduct”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 59, No. 1, pp. 55-67.

22

23 Maloni, M.J. and Brown, M.E. (2006), “Corporate Social Responsibility in the supply chain:

24

25 An application in the food industry”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 68, No. 1, pp. 35-52.

26

27 Marchoo, W., Butcher, K. and Watkins, M. (2014), “Tour booking: Do travelers respond to

28

29

30 tourism accreditation and codes of ethics initiatives”, *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing*,

31

32 Vol. 31, No. 1, pp. 16-36.

33

34 McWilliams, A. and Siegel, D. (2001), “Corporate social responsibility: A theory of the firm

35

36 perspective”, *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 26, No. 1, pp. 117-127.

37

38 McWilliams, A., Siegel, D.S. and Wright, P.M. (2006), “Corporate social responsibility:

39

40

41 Strategic implications”, *Journal of Management Studies,* Vol. 43, No. 1, pp. 1-18.

42

43 Mikkila, M., Kolehmainen, O. and Pukkala, T. (2005), “Multi-attribute assessment of

44

45 acceptability of operations in the pulp and paper industries”, *Forest Policy and Economics*,

46

47 Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 227-243.

48

49 Mir, M.Z. and Rahaman, A. (2011), “In pursuit of environmental excellence. A stakeholder

50

51

52 analysis of the environmental management strategies and performance of an Australian

53

54 energy company”, *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Vol. 24, No. 7, pp. 848-

**Page 31 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 Montiel, I. and Delgado-Ceballos, J. (2014), “Defining and Measuring Corporate

4

5 Sustainability: Are we there yet?”, *Organization & Environment,* Vol*.* 27, No. 2, pp. 113-

6

7 139.

8

9 Morris, R. (1994), “Computerized content analysis in management research: A demonstration

10

11

12 of advantages & limitations”, *Journal of Management*, Vol. 20, No. 4, pp. 903-931.

13

14 North, D.C. (1990), *Institutions, institutional change and economic performance*, Norton,

15

16 New York.

17

18 O’Connor, A. and Shumate, M. (2010), “An economic industry and institutional level of

19

20

21 analysis of Corporate Social Responsibility communication”, *Management Communication*

22

23 *Quarterly*, Vol. 24, No. 4, pp. 529-551.

24

25 Painter-Morland, M. and ten Bos, R. (Eds.) (2011). *Business ethics and continental*

26

27 *philosophy*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

28

29

30 Pollach, I. (2011), “Software review: Wordstat 5.0”, *Organizational Research Methods*, Vol.

31

32 14, No. 4, pp. 741-744.

33

34 Porter, M.E. and Kramer, M.R. (2006), “Strategy & society: The link between competitive

35

36 advantage and corporate social responsibility”, *Harvard Business Review,* Vol. 84, No. 12,

37

38 pp. 78-92.

39

40

41 Roberts, R.W. (1992), “Determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosure: An

42

43 application of stakeholder theory”, *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, Vol. 17, No. 6, pp.

44

45 595-612.

46

47 Ross, A. (2008), “The quandaries of consumer-based activism: A low-wage case study”,

48

49 *Cultural Studies*, Vol. 22, No. 5, pp. 770-787.

50

51

52 Rowley, T. and Berman, S. (2000), “A brand new brand of corporate social performance”,

53

54 *Business & Society,* Vol*.* 39, No. 4, pp. 397-418.

**European Business Review**

**Page 32 of 44**

1

2

3 Sacconi, L. (2011), “A Rawlsian view of CSR and game theory (part 1)”, in Sacconi, L.,

4

5 Blair, M., Freeman, R. and Vercelli, A. (Eds.). *Corporate social responsibility and corporate*

6

7 *governance: The contribution of economic theory and related disciplines,* Palgrave

8

9 Macmillan, London, pp. 157-193.

10

11

12 Salzmann, O., Ionescu-Somers, A. and Steger, U. (2005), “The business case for corporate

13

14 sustainability: literature review and research options”, *European Management Journal*, Vol.

15

16 23, No. 1, pp. 27-36.

17

18 Schwartz, M.S. and Carroll, A.B. (2003), “Corporate social responsibility: A three-domain

19

20

21 approach”, *Business Ethics Quarterly*, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 503-530.

22

23 Scott, W.R. (1995), *Institutions and organizations*, Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.

24

25 Sharma, S. and Henriques, I. (2005), “Stakeholder influences on sustainability practices in the

26

27 Canadian forest products industry”, *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 26, No. 2, pp. 159-

28

29

30 180.

31

32 Stevens, J.M., Steensma, H.K., Harrison, D.A. and Cochran, P.L. (2005), “Symbolic or

33

34 substantive document? The influence of ethics codes on financial executives’ decisions”,

35

36 *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 26, No. 2, pp. 181-195.

37

38 ten Bos, R. and Dunne, S. (2011), “Corporate social responsibility”, in Painter-Morland, M.

39

40

41 and ten Bos, R. (Eds.). *Business ethics and continental philosophy*, Cambridge University

42

43 Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 242-262.

44

45 Torugsa, N.A., O’Donohue, W. and Hecker, R. (2013), “Proactive CSR: An empirical

46

47 analysis of the role of its economic, social and environmental dimensions on the association

48

49 between capability and performance”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 115, No. 2, pp. 383-

50

51

52 402.

53

54 Useem, M. (1988), “Market and institutional factors in corporate contributions” *California*

**Page 33 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 Wang, L. and Juslin, H. (2013), “Corporate Social Responsibility in the Chinese forest

4

5 industry: Understanding multiple stakeholder perceptions”, *Corporate Social Responsibility*

6

7 *and Environmental Management*, Vol. 20, No. 3, pp. 129-145.

8

9 Wernerfelt, B. (1984), “A resource-based view of the firm”, *Strategic Management Journal*,

10

11

12 Vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 171-180.

13

14 Wood, D.J. (1991), “Corporate social performance revisited”, *Academy of Management*

15

16 *Review*, Vol. 16, No. 4, pp. 691-718.

17

18 Wu, M.-W. and Shen, C.-H. (2013), “Corporate social responsibility in the banking industry:

19

20

21 Motives and financial performance”, *Journal of Banking & Finance*, Vol. 37, No. 9, pp.

22

23 3229-3547.

24

25 Yakovleva, N. and Vazquez-Brust, D. (2012), “Stakeholder perspectives on CSR of mining

26

27 MNCs in Argentina”, *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 102, No. 2, pp. 191-211.

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

**European Business Review**

**Page 34 of 44**

1

2

3

4 50

5

6 45

7

8 40

10

11 30

12 25

13

14 20

15 15

16

17 10

Figure 1. Number of articles published by year, 1995-2014.

44 44

6

33 34 34 32

25

11

**For**

18

19 5

20 0

8 8

3 3 3 3 4 5

1 1

22

23

24 Source: Authors, based on SSCI Web of Science.

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

**Page 35 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 Figure 2. Mapping of industries based on CSR-related issues studied

4

5 (most researched industries)

6

7

8 **Human Issues**

9

10 Textile

11

12

13 Pharmaceuticals Retail

14 Food

15

16 Tobacco

17

18 Weapons

19

20 **Business**

21 **Ethics**

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36



Mining & Quarrying

Banking

Agriculture, forestry & fishing

Finance &

insurance



**Environmental**

**Issues**

Tourism

**Image and**

**Brand**

37 Source: Authors, based on SSCI Web of Science.

38

39 Note: The size of the bubbles corresponds to the number of published articles.

**European Business Review**

**Page 36 of 44**

1

2

3 Table 1. Characteristics of the sample

4

5

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Number of results | 302 |
| Number of citations (excluding self-citation) | 3352 |
| Average number of citations per item | 11.10 |
| h index | 30 |

6

7

8

9

10 Source: Authors, based on SSCI Web of Science.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

**Page 37 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 Table 2. Distribution of articles by journal (Top 10 journals)

4

5

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Journal** | **No. of articles** | **Frequency**  **(%)** | **Cumulative frequency (%)** |
| *Journal of Business Ethics* | 112 | 37,09 | 37,09 |
| *Corporate Social Responsibility and*  *Environmental Management* | 7 | 2,32 | 39,41 |
| *Business Ethics : A European Review* | 7 | 2,32 | 41,73 |
| *Management Decision* | 5 | 1,66 | 43,39 |
| *Journal of Economic Geography* | 5 | 1,66 | 45,05 |
| *Business Strategy and the Environment* | 5 | 1,66 | 46,71 |
| *Journal of Cleaner Production* | 4 | 1,32 | 48,03 |
| *International Journal of Hospitality*  *Management* | 4 | 1,32 | 49,35 |
| *Business & Society* | 4 | 1,32 | 50,67 |
| *British Food Journal* | 4 | 1,32 | 51,99 |

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 Source: Authors, based on SSCI Web of Science.

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

**European Business Review**

**Page 38 of 44**

1

2

3 Table 3. Distribution of Articles by Authors’ Country-of-Origin (Top 10 countries)

4

5

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Country** | **No. of**  **Articles** | **Frequency**  **(%)** | **Cumulative frequency (%)** |
| USA | 73 | 24,17 | 24,17 |
| England | 61 | 20,20 | 44,37 |
| Australia | 27 | 8,94 | 53,31 |
| Spain | 26 | 8,61 | 61,92 |
| Canada | 19 | 6,29 | 68,21 |
| China | 12 | 3,97 | 72,19 |
| Netherlands | 12 | 3,97 | 76,16 |
| Finland | 11 | 3,64 | 79,80 |
| Taiwan | 10 | 3,31 | 83,11 |
| France | 10 | 3,31 | 86,42 |

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 Source: Authors, based on SSCI Web of Science.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

**Page 39 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 Table 4. Distribution of authors’ keywords (Top 30 keywords)

4

5

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Authors’ keywords** | **Nb. of papers** | **Distribution of total**  **882 used keywords**  **(%)** | **Distribution of keywords in the 302 papers (%)** |
| Corporate social  responsibility | 127 | 14.40 | 42,05 |
| Business ethics | 27 | 3.06 | 8,94 |
| Ethics | 24 | 2.72 | 7,95 |
| CSR | 14 | 1.59 | 4,64 |
| China | 11 | 1.25 | 3,64 |
| Social responsibility | 10 | 1.13 | 3,31 |
| Sustainability | 9 | 1.02 | 2,98 |
| Corporate Social  Responsibility (CSR) | 9 | 1.02 | 2,98 |
| Stakeholders | 8 | 0.91 | 2,65 |
| Sustainable  development | 7 | 0.79 | 2,32 |
| Content analysis | 7 | 0.79 | 2,32 |
| Supply chain  management | 7 | 0.79 | 2,32 |
| Corporate  governance | 7 | 0.79 | 2,32 |
| Globalization | 6 | 0.68 | 1,99 |
| Governance | 6 | 0.68 | 1,99 |
| Corporate  responsibility | 6 | 0.68 | 1,99 |
| Stakeholder  engagement | 6 | 0.68 | 1,99 |
| Institutional theory | 6 | 0.68 | 1,99 |
| Codes of conduct | 5 | 0.57 | 1,66 |
| Financial  performance | 5 | 0.57 | 1,66 |
| Ethical trade | 5 | 0.57 | 1,66 |
| Stakeholder theory | 5 | 0.57 | 1,66 |
| Human rights | 4 | 0.45 | 1,32 |
| Global value chains | 4 | 0.45 | 1,32 |
| Food industry | 4 | 0. 5 | 1,32 |
| United Kingdom | 4 | 0.45 | 1,32 |
| Corporate citizenship | 4 | 0.45 | 1,32 |
| Consumer | 4 | 0.45 | 1,32 |
| Codes of ethics | 4 | 0.45 | 1,32 |
| Internet | 3 | 0.34 | 0,99 |

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

**European Business Review**

**Page 40 of 44**

1

2

3 Table 5. Distribution of papers according to the sector

4

5

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Sector** | **Nb. of papers** | **Frequency (%)** |
| Primary | 18 | 5,96 |
| Secondary | 71 | 23,51 |
| Tertiary | 73 | 24,17 |
| Cross-sector and sector not specified | 140 | 46,35 |

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 Source: Authors, based on SSCI Web of Science.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

**Page 41 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3 Table 6. Industries which have been reported on in relation to CSR practices

4

5

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Industry** | **Nb. of papers** | **Frequency**  **(%)** |
| Retail sale | 15 | 4,97 |
| Pharmaceuticals (manufacture of pharmaceuticals) | 11 | 3,64 |
| Mining and quarrying (extraction of coal, metals,  petroleum, gas, peat, salt, sand etc.) | 9 | 2,98 |
| Tourism and hospitality (short term accommodation) | 9 | 2,98 |
| Textile and clothing (manufacture of wearing apparel) | 9 | 2,98 |
| Food (manufacture of food products) | 8 | 2,65 |
| Banking (monetary intermediation) | 8 | 2,65 |
| Financial and insurance activities | 7 | 2,32 |
| Agriculture, forestry and fishing | 6 | 1,99 |
| Tobacco (manufacture of tobacco products) | 5 | 1,66 |
| Weapons and ammunition (manufacture of weapons and  ammunition) | 5 | 1,66 |
| Information and communication (social networks, media,  ICT) | 4 | 1,32 |
| Automotive (manufacture of motor vehicles) | 4 | 1,32 |
| Sports goods (manufacture of sports goods) | 4 | 1,32 |
| Restaurants and mobile food service activities | 4 | 1,32 |
| Telecommunications | 4 | 1,32 |
| Electric power generation, transmission and distribution | 3 | 0,99 |
| Gambling and betting activities | 3 | 0,99 |
| Activities of sports clubs | 2 | 0,66 |
| Advertising | 2 | 0,66 |
| Education | 2 | 0,66 |
| Furniture (manufacture of furniture) | 2 | 0,66 |
| Machinery and equipment (manufacture of machinery and  equipment) | 2 | 0,66 |
| Paper and paper products (manufacture of paper and paper  products) | 2 | 0,66 |
| Sea and coastal water transport | 2 | 0,66 |
| Various industries and other | 170 | 56,29 |

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 Source: Authors, based on SSCI Web of Science.

**European Business Review**

**Page 42 of 44**

1

2

3

4

5 Table 7. Selection of articles reporting on CSR activities in different industries/sectors

6

7

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Author(s)** | **Industry/**  **sector** | **Conceptual framework** | **Method** | **Sample** | **Key issues studied** | **Main findings** |
| Cragg and Greenbaum (2002) | Mining | Stakeholder theory | Qualitative case study | One mining company | How managers talk about CSR and which explicit and implicit value systems and moral logics inform this talk | Confirmation that stakeholder theory is  grounded in the realities of management practice and behavior. |
| Jones et al. (2005) | Retail | Reporting | Secondary data analysis (annual reports) | 10 leading UK  retailers | Which CSR issues are addressed and reported by the UK's leading retailers | Each of the leading food retailers has its own approach to CSR. There are substantial variations in the nature and extent of the reporting process with some common ground in reporting on a range of environmental issues, on sourcing, on employees, on customers and on  the communities in which the retailers operate. |
| Mikkila et al. (2005) | Pulp and paper | Stakeholder theory | Case studies | 4 case studies in  4 countries: China, Finland, Germany and Portugal | Corporate social performance studied by testing a potential indicator, the acceptability of operations. | The concept of the acceptability of operations varies from place to place. |
| Logsdon and  Wood (2005) | Petroleum | Theory of  Global Business  Citizenship | Case studies | 6 global  companies | Analysis of the codes of  business conduct from the global perspective of business citizenship theory. | Illustrations of the concepts of the theory of  Global Business Citizenship |
| Hughes  (2005) | Retail | Corporate strategy and inter-firm organization |  | UK food and clothing retailers | Ethical trading principles | Suggests three contrasting modes of organization for ethical monitoring are used by retail companies: the arm's-length approach, the coordinated approach, and the developmental approach, each of which has contrasting implications for suppliers and workers at production sites. |

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

**Page 43 of 44 European Business Review**

1

2

3

4

5 Table 7. Selection of articles reporting on CSR activities in different industries/sectors (continued)

6

7

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Author(s)** | **Industry/**  **sector** | **Conceptual framework** | **Method** | **Sample** | **Key issues studied** | **Main findings** |
| Mir and  Rahaman  (2011) | Energy | Stakeholder  theory | Case study | 1 Australian  energy company | How environmental  concerns are handled and what outcomes are achieved in terms of environmental and economic performance | There are socio-political processes that are  enlisted to control, monitor, and instill discipline in the organization's pursuit of its social initiatives, which help to improve both its financial and environmental performance. |
| Torugsa et al.  (2013) | Machinery and  equipment | Resource-  based view  (RBV) | Quantitative  survey | 171 SMEs in  Australia | The association between  three specified capabilities (shared vision, stakeholder management and strategic proactivity), proactive CSR and financial performance. | All specified capabilities are positively  associated with adoption of proactive CSR by SMEs. Proactive CSR is associated with an improvement in firm financial performance. Proactive CSR mediates the association between capabilities and financial performance. |
| Wang and  Juslin (2013) | Forest industry | Stakeholder  theory | Quantitative | 810  observations | Assessment of the CSR  performance of the Chinese forest industry | Stakeholder theory is a useful tool for collecting  and evaluating CSR data. Chinese forest industry performs slightly better in its economic responsibility than environmental and social responsibilities. Values have a significant effect on the stakeholder perception of CSR performance. |
| Wu and Shen  (2013) | Banking | Link between  CSR and Financial Performance | Quantitative | 162 banks in 22  countries | Association between  corporate social responsibility (CSR) and financial performance (FP), and driving motives of banks to engage in CSR. | CSR associates positively with FP in terms of  return on assets, return on equity, net interest income, and non-interest income. In contrast, CSR negatively associates with non-performing loans. Hence, strategic choice is the primary motive of banks engaging in CSR. |
| Bruyaka et al.  (2013) | Pharmaceutical | Schwartz and  Carroll’s (2003) three- domain approach to CSR and the literature on strategic CSR | Qualitative and  quantitative | USA and EU | The drivers of corporate  social responsibility (CSR) activities in orphan drug markets and the extent to which biopharmaceutical firms engage in these activities with a strategic orientation. | Both US- and EU-based companies engaged in  orphan drugs development perceive their involvement as a responsible business activity beyond the economic dimension of CSR. However, for the majority of these companies CSR activities are not strategic according to the criteria established in the literature. There are differences between larger and smaller firms in their use of CSR. |

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 Source: Authors.

**European Business Review**

**Page 44 of 44**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60